

(302) 425-6410 Phone: Fax:

(302) 428-5132

Poppiti@BlankRome.com

March 23, 2007

BY CM/ECF and EMAIL

Richard D. Kirk, Esquire Ashley B. Stitzer, Esquire The Bayard Firm 222 Delaware Ave., Suite 900 P.O. Box 25130 Wilmington, DE 19899

Frederick L. Cottrell, Esquire Anne S. Gaza, Esquire Richards Layton & Finger One Rodney Square 920 North King Street Wilmington, DE 19801

Jeffrey B. Bove, Esquire Jaclyn M. Mason, Esquire Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP 1007 North Orange Street Wilmington, DE 19801

> LG. Philips LCD Co., Ltd. v. ViewSonic Corporation, et al.; Re: C.A. No. 04-343 (JJF)

Dear Counsel:

This will serve as my Report and Recommendations regarding the February 20, 2007 letter submission by Tatung Company and Tatung Company of America, Inc. (collectively, "Tatung"), together with the related submissions of the parties and the discussion held on the record during the March 8, 2007 discovery conference. Tatung's submission (i) raises concerns as to whether counsel for LG. Philips LCD Co., Ltd. ("LPL") is in compliance with the Protective Order entered in this case, and (ii) seeks relief from any requirement that Tatung continue to produce confidential information.

The Special Master has reviewed in camera certain documents identified by Tatung from LPL's Privilege Log to ascertain whether there is any indicia that confidential information produced to LPL's trial team pursuant to the Protective Order in this case has been shared with LPL's patent prosecution counsel. The documents identified by Tatung for review, and inspected by the Special Master in camera, are: Privilege Log Document Nos. 58-60, 62-69, 120, 311, 312, 315, 319-321, 341-342, 344-346, 351, 361-363, 368, 372-376, 378, 390-395, 397-398, 411, 426 and 430-431.

In connection with this review, the Special Master has also reviewed the declarations of LPL counsel Rebecca Rudich and Matthew Bailey, both senior partners at the law firm of McKenna Long & Aldridge, as well as the declarations of associate attorneys Derek Auito, Michael Angert and Jennifer Davis of the same law firm.

> Chase Manhattan Centre 1201 Market Street Suite 800 Wilmington, DE 19801 www.BlankRome.com



Richard D. Kirk, Esquire Ashley B. Stitzer, Esquire Frederick L. Cottrell, Esquire Anne S. Gaza, Esquire Jeffrey B. Bove, Esquire Jaclyn M. Mason, Esquire March 23, 2007 Page 2

These declarations specifically aver that appropriate procedures are in place at the law firm of McKenna Long & Aldridge (hereafter "MLA") to ensure that no MLA attorney who prosecutes patents relating to flat panel display technology either receives or reviews confidential information produced in the present litigation. Briefly summarized, those procedures require all attorneys to review and comply with the Protective Order in this case by, among other things, keeping litigation and patent prosecution files separate and by password protecting access to the Summation software used in the litigation.

Based on the Special Master's careful *in camera* review of each of the documents identified from LPL's Privilege Log, including the attachments and enclosures thereto, the Special Master concludes that there is no evidence whatsoever that LPL's counsel has violated the Protective Order entered in this case.

Finding no violation of the Protective Order, the Special Master further concludes that Tatung should immediately resume production of its information responsive to discovery in this case.

As a final matter, the Special Master concludes that there is no justification for shifting the costs associated with the Special Master's consideration of this matter and, therefore, each party shall bear its own costs.

ENTERED this 23rd day of March, 2007

Vincent J. Poppiti (DSBA No. 100614)

Special Master